UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

STEPHEN F. P. CIOLINO,

v.

Case No. 2:23-cv-00030-ART-NJK

Plaintiff

ORDER

STATE OF NEVADA EX REL, et al.,

Defendants

On June 14, 2023, the Court entered a screening order permitting various claims to proceed and dismissing some claims with leave to amend and some claims with prejudice. Docket No. 12 at 23-26. The Court permitted the following colorable claims to proceed: (1) Fourteenth Amendment due process administrative segregation claim against Defendants Barrett, Johnson, Barth, and Moreada; (2) Fourteenth Amendment intentional deprivation of property claim against Defendants Moka, Quinn, Garcia, and Smith; (3) Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim regarding a lack of outdoor exercise against Defendant Bean; (4) First Amendment free exercise claim against Defendants Johnson, Bean, Barrett, Moka, and Quinn; (5) RLUIPA claim against Defendants Johnson, Bean, Barrett, Moka, and Quinn; (6) Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against Defendant Rosses; and (7) Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference to a serious medical needs claim relating to Plaintiff's fungal infection against Defendants Gutierros, Gobler, Henry, Bernales, Williams, Scally, and Johnson. *Id*.

The Court granted Plaintiff 30 days from the date of that order to file a second amended complaint curing the deficiencies of the first amended complaint. *Id.* at 25. The Court specifically stated that, if Plaintiff chose not to file a second amended complaint, the action would proceed on Plaintiff's colorable claims. *Id.* at 25-26. Plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint. *See* Docket. Pursuant to the screening order, this action will proceed only on Plaintiff's colorable claims.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the Court's screening order at Docket No. 12, this action will proceed on the following claims: (1) Fourteenth Amendment due process administrative segregation claim against Defendants Barrett, Johnson, Barth, and Moreada; (2) Fourteenth Amendment intentional deprivation of property claim against Defendants Moka, Quinn, Garcia, and Smith; (3) Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim regarding a lack of outdoor exercise against Defendant Bean; (4) First Amendment free exercise claim against Defendants Johnson, Bean, Barrett, Moka, and Quinn; (5) RLUIPA claim against Defendants Johnson, Bean, Barrett, Moka, and Quinn; (6) Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against Defendant Rosses; and (7) Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference to a serious medical needs claim relating to Plaintiff's fungal infection against Defendants Gutierros, Gobler, Henry, Bernales, Williams, Scally, and Johnson. *Id.* at 23-26.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, given the nature of the claim(s) that the Court has permitted to proceed, this action is stayed for 90 days to allow Plaintiff and Defendant(s) an opportunity to settle their dispute before the \$350.00 filing fee is paid, an answer is filed, or the discovery process begins. During this 90-day stay period and until the Court lifts the stay, no other pleadings or papers may be filed in this case, and the parties will not engage in any discovery, nor are the parties required to respond to any paper filed in violation of the stay unless specifically ordered by the Court to do so. The Court will refer this case to the Court's Inmate Early Mediation Program, and the Court will enter a subsequent order. Regardless, on or before 90 days from the date this order is entered, the Office of the Attorney General will file the report form attached to this order regarding the results of the 90-day stay, even if a stipulation for dismissal is entered prior to the end of the 90-day stay. If the parties proceed with this action, the Court will then issue an order setting a date for Defendants to file an answer or other response. Following the filing of an answer, the Court will issue a scheduling order setting discovery and dispositive motion deadlines.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that "settlement" may or may not include payment of money damages. It also may or may not include an agreement to resolve Plaintiff's issues differently. A compromise agreement is one in which neither party is completely satisfied with the result, but both have given something up and both have obtained something in return.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if the case does not settle, Plaintiff will be required to pay the full \$350.00 statutory filing fee for a civil action. This fee cannot be waived, and the fee cannot be refunded once the Court enters an order granting Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. If Plaintiff is allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the fee will be paid in installments from his prison trust account. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). If Plaintiff is not allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the full \$350 statutory filing fee for a civil action plus the \$52 administrative filing fee, for a total of \$402, will be due immediately.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if any party seeks to have this case excluded from the inmate mediation program, that party will file a "motion to exclude case from mediation" no later than 21 days prior to the date set for mediation. The responding party will have 7 days to file a response. No reply will be filed. Thereafter, the Court will issue an order, set the matter for hearing, or both.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff needs a translator to participate in the mediation program, Plaintiff must file a notice identifying the translation language and the need for the translator no later than August 21, 2023.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is further directed to add the Nevada Department of Corrections to the docket as an Interested Party and electronically serve a copy of this order, the screening order, Docket No. 12, and a copy of Plaintiff's first amended complaint, Docket No. 10, on the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada by adding the Attorney General of the State of Nevada to the interested party on the docket. This does not indicate acceptance of service.

Case 2:23-cv-00030-ART-NJK Document 13 Filed 07/21/23 Page 4 of 7

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Attorney General's Office will advise the Court no later than August 11, 2023, whether it will enter a limited notice of appearance on behalf of Defendants for the purpose of settlement. No defenses or objections, including lack of service, will be waived as a result of the filing of the limited notice of appearance.

DATED: July 21, 2023.

NANCY J. KOPPE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 STEPHEN F. P. CIOLINO, Case No. 2:23-cv-00030-ART-NJK 4 REPORT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL **Plaintiff** 5 RE: RESULTS OF 90-DAY STAY ٧. 6 STATE OF NEVADA EX REL, et al., 7 Defendants 8 NOTE: ONLY THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL SHALL FILE THIS FORM. 9 THE INMATE PLAINTIFF SHALL NOT FILE THIS FORM. 10 11 On [the date of the issuance of the screening order], the Court 12 issued its screening order stating that it had conducted its screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 13 § 1915A, and that certain specified claims in this case would proceed. The Court ordered 14 the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada to file a report ninety (90) days 15 after the date of the entry of the Court's screening order to indicate the status of the case 16 at the end of the 90-day stay. By filing this form, the Office of the Attorney General hereby 17 complies. 18 REPORT FORM [Identify which of the following two situations (identified in bold type) describes the case, 19 and follow the instructions corresponding to the proper statement. 20 <u>Situation One: Mediated Case</u>: The case was assigned to mediation by a courtappointed mediator during the 90-day stay. [If this statement is accurate, check <u>ONE</u> 21 of the six statements below and fill in any additional information as required, then proceed to the signature block.] 22 A mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held on 23 [enter date], and as of this date, the parties have reached a settlement (even if paperwork to memorialize the settlement remains to be completed). (If this box is checked, the parties are on notice 24 that they must SEPARATELY file either a contemporaneous stipulation of 25 dismissal or a motion requesting that the Court continue the stay in the case until a specified date upon which they will file a stipulation of dismissal.) 26 A mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held on 27 [enter date], and as of this date, the parties have not reached a settlement. The Office of the Attorney General therefore informs 28 the Court of its intent to proceed with this action.

1								
2		No mediation session with a court-appoint 90-day stay, but the parties have neverthe is checked, the parties are on notice the contemporaneous stipulation of dismission.			eless settled the case. (If this box at they must SEPARATELY file a			
4		Court cont	inue the stay in this tipulation of dismissa	case until a				
5		No mediati	ion session with a co	ourt-appointe	ed mediator was	held during the		
6		90-day stay, but one is currently schedul date].			1 101	[enter		
7		No mediati	ion session with a co	ourt-appointe	nted mediator was held during the te certain has been scheduled for			
8		such a ses		certain nas bee	n scheduled for			
9			he above five state					
10		Contemporaneously with the filing of this General of the State of Nevada is filing a status of this case.			a separate document detailing the			
11	* * * *							
12	Situation Tv	vo: Informa	l Settlement Discu	ssions Case	: The case was	NOT assigned		
13	Situation Two: Informal Settlement Discussions Case: The case was NOT assigned to mediation with a court-appointed mediator during the 90-day stay; rather, the parties were encouraged to engage in informal settlement negotiations. [If this statement is accurate, check ONE of the four statements below and fill in any additional information as required, then proceed to the signature block.]							
14								
15		•	s engaged in settle	J	•	of this date the		
16		parties have the settlem	ve reached a settler nent remains to be co	ment (<i>even it</i> o <i>mpleted</i>). (<i>l</i> .	f the paperwork f this box is che	to memorialize cked, the parties		
17 18		stipulation stay in this	of dismissal or a m case until a specific	otion reques	ELY file either a contemporaneous lesting that the Court continue the loon which they will file a stipulation			
19		of dismissa	,					
20		partiės hav	s engaged in settle re not reached a set nforms the Court of i	tlement. The	e Office of the A	Attorney General		
21		The parties have not engaged in settleme			•			
22		the parties	s have not reached erefore informs the	a settlemer	nt. The Office	of the Attorney		
23		Ochorar ar			itorit to proced	With this dotton.		
24		None of the	e above three state	ments fully de	escribes the sta	tus of this case.		
25	Contemporaneously with the filing of this report, the Office of the General of the State of Nevada is filing a separate document of status of this case.							
26	Subm	itted this		, by	<u>":</u>			
27								
28	Attorney Nar	me:	Print		Signatu			
					Signatu	. •		

1			
2	Address:		Phone:
3			
4			Email:
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			